

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,592	11/15/2001	Adam Peter Gawne-Cain	P51380US	7374
466	7590 03/31/2004		EXAM	INER
YOUNG & THOMPSON			THAI, HANH B	
	23RD STREET 2ND FLOON, VA 22202	JR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2171	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	· 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Q

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	09/987,592	GAWNE-CAIN, ADAM PETER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Hanh B Thai	2171
Period for Reply	ipears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of the I will apply and will expire SIX (6) Modele, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 I</u>	November 2001.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	*	•
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		<u></u>
10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 November 2001 is/		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	*	, ,
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
•		0.440(a) (d) an (0
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)☑ÁII b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ii phonty under 35 0.5.C.	3 119(a)-(d) of (i).
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	t received.
		Mle
Attachment(s)	_	_
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		y Summary (PTO-413) D(s)/Mail Date
2) Notice of Draftenerson's Patent Drawing Povious (DTO 049)	ranei ivi	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2. 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2171

This is in response to Application filed November 15, 2001 in which claims 1-18 are presented for examination.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arun et al (US Patent no. 6,631,386) in view of Larson (US Patent no. 6,571,244).

Regarding claims 1, 17 and 18, Arun discloses a database management system for maintaining chunks of data indicative of the states of a database comprising a plurality of data items, both before and after a transaction modifying the state of the database, the system comprising:

- (a) permanent records of the state of the database before the database-modifying transaction and the state of the database after the database-modifying transaction (see col. 4, lines 49-67, Fig. 5, 6A-B and corresponding text, Arun);
- (b) relation determination means for relating at least one parent data item in the data chunk indicative of each database state to at least one dependent data item in the same data chunk (see Fig.3 and corresponding text, Arun). Fig.3 of Arun showing that relation of the data node of the database state to one or more leafnodes from the same root;

Application/Control Number: 09/987,592 Page 3

Art Unit: 2171

(c) root determination means for determining the position of a root data item in the data chunk indicative of each database state to which other data items in that data chunk are related (see Fi.3 and col. 6, line 63 to col.7, line23, Arun). The system of Arun allows the user to modify and update the root version. Therefore, "the position of a root" must be determined; and

(d) state determination means for determining the state of the database after the database-modifying transaction by relating the root data item corresponding to that database state to both at least one data item in the data chunk corresponding to that database state and at least one data item in the data chunk corresponding to the state of the database before the data-modifying transaction (see col.6, line 63 to col.8, line 3; Fig.5, 6A-B and corresponding text, Arun).

Arun, however, does not disclose a memory means for holding data chunks providing permanent records. But, it well known to have a memory for storing data record as evident by Larson (see Fig.1-2, Fig.7 and corresponding text, Larson). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Arun to include the memory means for containing data records as taught by Larson. The motivation of doing so would have been to efficiently modify and manage data records.

Regarding claim 2, Aron/Larson combination further discloses the state determination means is arranged to relate the root data item in the data chunk corresponding to the database state of the database after the database-modifying transaction to at least one dependent data item

Art Unit: 2171

by way of at least one parent data item by use of the relation determination means associated with that parent data item (see Fi.3 and col. 6, line 63 to col.7, line23, Arun).

Regarding claim 3, Aron/Larson combination further discloses that the state determination means is arranged to record the position of the parent data item corresponding to each dependent data item during the tracking of data items related (see Fi.3 and col. 6, line 63 to col.7, line23, Arun).

Regarding claim 4, Aron/Larson combination further discloses a new record compiling means is provided to compile a supplementary chunk of data indicative of the state of the database after the database-modifying transaction and is arranged to copy those data items from the previous record which have been modified by the transaction whilst not copying those data items from the previous record which have not been modified by the transaction (see col. 7, lines 24 to col. 8, line 3, Arun).

Regarding claim 5, Aron/Larson combination further discloses the new record compiling means is arranged to copy dependent data items from the previous record which have been modified by the transaction, as well as parent items to which those dependent data items are related by the relation determination means (see col.7, lines 24-59, Aron).

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claim 6, Aron/Larson combination further discloses that the presentation means is provided to present the data items in each record in a different logical structure (see col.2, lines 52-65, Larson).

Regarding claim 7, Aron/Larson combination further discloses that the presentation means is adapted to present the data items in the form of a relational database (see col. 26, lines 45-51, Arun).

Regarding claim 8, Aron/Larson combination further discloses that the presentation means is adapted to present the data items in the form of an object database (see col. 5, lines 27-56, Arun).

Regarding claim 9, Aron/Larson combination does not disclose that the presentation means is adapted to present the data items in the form of a virtual disk drive. But, it is obvious to have a computer software interface which allows other computer programs to support such functions of updating or modifying data.

Regarding claim 10, Aron/Larson combination further discloses that the previous state location means is provided to relate the data chunk indicative of the state of the database after the database-modifying transaction to the position of the data chunk indicative of the state of the database before the database-modifying transaction (see Fi.3 and col. 6, line 63 to col.7, line 23, Arun).

Art Unit: 2171

Page 6

Regarding claim 11, Aron/Larson combination further discloses a version control system (VCS) defining branch points at which alternative versions of the logical state of the database are allowed to develop in parallel (see element 11, Fig. 1 and corresponding text, Arun).

Regarding claim 12, the combination system of Aron/Larson discloses in Fig. 1 a multiuser system permitting several users to modify the database simultaneously to produce alternative versions of the state of the database after modification, wherein the memory means is adapted to permanently hold a record of the state of the modified database produced by each user together with an indication of the user's logical view of the database before modification.

Regarding claim 13, Aron/Larson combination does not disclose the step of providing the user with an undo/redo mechanism. But, the combination system discloses the modification state of database. Therefore, it must have the undo/redo mechanism in the modified function of the system.

Regarding claim 14, Aron/Larson combination further discloses the analyzing means is provided to analyze database-modifying transactions made by the user (see col. 8, line 56 to col. 9, line 4, Arun).

Application/Control Number: 09/987,592 Page 7

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claim 15, Aron/Larson combination further discloses identifying means is provided to identify common mistakes made by user in making database-modifying transactions (see col. 9, lines 36-54, Arun).

Regarding claim 16, Aron/Larson combination further discloses that each record contains metadata providing information relating to the creation of the record (see col. 1, lines 7-32, Arun).

Conclusion

- 2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 1. Katzenberger (US Patent no. 5,970,496) discloses a method and system for storing information in a computer system memory using hierarchical data node relationships.
- 2. Thomas et al. (US Patent no. 6,460,052) discloses a method and system for performing fine grain versioning.
- 3. San Andres et al (US patent no. 5,956,489) discloses a transaction replication system and method for supporting replicated transaction-based services.
- 4. Sarkar (US Patent no. 5,561,795) discloses a method and apparatus for audit trail logging and data base recovery.
- 5. Kanome (US Patent no. 6,205,450) discloses a computer system capable of restarting system using disk image of arbitrary snapshop.

Art Unit: 2171

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh B Thai whose telephone number is 703-305-4883. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Hanh Thai HY Art Unit 2171 March 25, 2004

> UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER